The four persons whose names are given below[a] and some others wanted in a rioting case, P.R. No. 5 of 1947 on the file of the Special First-class Magistrate, Tellicherry. All the four absconded and the warrants issued by the Court could not be executed. The Magistrate registered a separate case against the absconding accused and took action under sections 87 and 88, Criminal Procedure Code, and attached their properties. The first three accused neither claimed the release of their properties nor proved to the satisfaction of the Court that they did not abscond or conceal themselves for the purpose of avoiding execution of the warrants or that they had no notice of proclamation within the stipulated time. The attached properties therefore stand confiscated to the Government by efflux of time.

[a] (1) Kunnumprovan Krishnan, Eruvassi, Chirakkal taluk.
(2) Melatan Raman Nair, Payyavur, Chirakkal taluk.
(3) Velluva Narayana Nambiar, Nediodi, Padiyur, Chirakkal taluk.
(4) V. Kunhappan.

As regards the property of the fourth accused (Sri V. Kunhappan), his sister Parvathiammal preferred a claim for their release from attachment. But her claim was not allowed as it was learnt on enquiry that her brother had a joint right over the attached properties. The Magistrate did not however pass any specific orders on the claim petition. Subsequently, the Subdivisional Magistrate, Tellicherry, made further enquiries into the matter. The enquiry revealed that the property actually belonged to Srimathi Parvathi Ammal exclusively and that no one else had any right over them. The attached properties were therefore released to Srimathi Parvathi Ammal.

APPENDIX III.

[Vide answer to starred question No. 749 asked by Sri K. Parthasarathy at the meeting of the Legislative Assembly held on 13th May 1954, page 707 supra.]

(*a*) There are four sugar factories in Madras State. The following are the details regarding them :—

	Name of the factory.	Location.	Daily cane-crushing capacity. TONS.
1	The East India Distilleries and Sugar Factories, Limited.	Nellikuppam (South Arcot district).	2,200
2	The Deccan Sugar and Abkari Company, Limited.	Pugalur (Tiruchirappalli district).	700
3	The Murugappa Sugar Company, Limited.	Mailpatti (North Arcot district).	100
4	The Madura Sugars and Allied Products, Limited.	Pandyarajapuram (Madurai district).	300

13th May 1954]

(b) The Government of India have granted licences for the establishment of the following three new sugar factories in this State.

Name of the factory.	Location.	Daily cane-crushing capacity.
		TONS.
1 The Kulitalai Sugar Factory, Limited.	Pettavathalai (Tiruchirappalli district).	800
2 The Cauvery Sugars and Chemicals, Limited.	Namakkal (Salem district).	600
3 The Tiruvannamalai Sugar Mills, Limited.	Tiruvannamalai (North Arcot district).	400

APPENDIX IV.

[Vide answer to starred question No. 750 asked by Sri A. Ratnam at the meeting of the Legislative Assembly held on 13th May 1954, page 709 supra.]

Memorandum submitted to the Chief Minister, Madras, by one Jamaat E. Jazeera, an organization of the Laccadive Islands and Minicoy.

1. We submit that the Laccadive Archipalago has never been a penal settlement. But Andaman islands were a penal settlement. However, the people of those islands are now well looked after by the Government. They have got a good sea port and ships go to Port Blair once a week. It is understood that they will soon have an airport as well. But our case is much different. We are still plying our country crafts of pre-historic days. We have no steamer service. We have brought this fact to the notice of the Government by various memoranda in 1949, 1951 and 1952 through several organizations. In recognition of our repeated requests, the Government deputed Sri S. Y. Krishnaswamy, I.C.S., for investigating and suggesting how to improve the islands. We understand that he submitted a detailed report to the Government in 1952 recommending several improvements. But we are sorry to state that his report has so far not seen the light of the day and that his recommendations are shelved. Our first request is that all the recommendations made by him should be implemented immediately and urgently.

We shall again narrate some of our requirements here :—

2. We are included among the Scheduled Tribes. But we are not getting any of the amenities given to other members of the Scheduled Tribes. There was a Special Development Commissioner for Rayalaseema which was a backwardregion. Similarly a Development Commissioner should be appointed to the Laccadives and Minicoy till they are brought on a par with the mainland. There is a Special Deputy Collector for Kallar Reclamation in Madurai. Kallars were of course backward. We are more backward. But no Special Deputy Collector is appointed to reclaim us.